

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/22/2004

APPLICATION NO	). F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/862,732		05/22/2001	Ting Dean Cheng	IBMC-0020	IBMC-0020 8759	
23550	7590	06/22/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	N WARN	ISSING, GF	ISSING, GREGORY C			
	M SQUARI , NY 1220			ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
				3662		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/862,732	CHENG, TING DEA	AN				
	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Gregory C. Issing	3662					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 28 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a sinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The danave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortened by above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most partner adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date or FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. \$ 136(a) and the appropriate ex the final Office action: or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ns.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):						
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely file	d amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: Se	r reconsideration has been consecution of the continuation of the	sidered but does NO	OT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected:  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. $\square$ The drawing correction filed on is a) $\square$ app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).						
10. Other:	,	Gregory C. Issing Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3662 - 70					
Patent and Trademark Office	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's remarks are not convincing. Alcorn et al do teach encoding with encryption the GPS longitude, latitude, and time and a PIN number for communication to the server (col. 7, lines 1-7); there is nothing in the claim language (identification generator) that distinguishes such from the prior art. additionally, there is a user input public key and a device generated private key. The mere encoding of the position and time, in fact meets the scope of the claimed identification generator. Applicant's remarks with respec to Fan are also not convincing since the modulator which is part of any transmission of data meets the scope of "an identification generator" since the transmitted message is generated contrary to the applicant's belief. Additionally, the the language "to ensure security, such a code word can be formed using an encryption process... to identify the first party." The authentication keys additionally provide identity of the devices. Also see col. 4, lines 60-67. The applicant's allegation that Nerlikar does not suggest an identification generator is not perusasive and is contrary to the teachings of Nerlikar, see co 4, par. 1 and 4 and col. 6, lines 14-17. These noted passages are merely exemplary of the teachings refuting the applicant's allegations Further, with respect to any of the prior art references, wireless communication/exchange of messages including position necessarily is associated with some form of identification in order to associate the position with a user.